



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/539,115

02/06/2006

Joachim Neuhaus

NEUH3002/FJD

9465

23364 7590 03/31/2008

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC
625 SLATERS LANE
FOURTH FLOOR
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

OBEID, FAHD A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3627

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/31/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/539,115	Applicant(s) NEUHAUS ET AL.	
	Examiner FAHD A. OBEID	Art Unit 3627	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02/06/2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 10-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 10-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 16 June 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☒ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

1. **Claims 1-9** are cancelled by the applicant.
2. **Claims 10-18** are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bugarin (US 6,606,570) in view of Bezos (US 6,029,141).

6. Regarding Claim 10-11 and 17-18: Bugarin discloses a method for product selection of a device of process automation engineering via the Internet, comprising the steps of:

- Establishing an Internet connection between a customer-side client-computer which contains a planning module, and a manufacturing-side server, which contains a product database (a server connects to a client computer used by a user; col 2 lines 8-21).
- Selecting at least one product line from the product database by query of basic data via the Internet (the server receives input flow stream parameters from a user, the input flow stream parameters are used by the server to determine flow meter parameters; col 2 lines 21-24).
- Transmitting from the server to the client-computer a first identifier characterizing the selected product line (the server may generate a display including the at least one model suitable for the flow meter parameters, the display is transmitted to the remote computer and displayed to the customer; see col 2 lines 26-30).
- Specifying a product of the product line with the help of the planning module, by local query of application data at the client-computer (the user then selects one of the at least one models and transmits a request for the selection to the server; col 2 lines 30-32).

- Expanding the first identifier to a second identifier, which more accurately characterizes the specified product (the user then selects one of the at least one models and transmits a request for the selection to the server; col 2 lines 30-32).

Bugarin does not explicitly disclose an identifier characterizing a selected product.

However, Bezos does disclose an identifier characterizing a selected product (col 5 lines 41-44, col 7 lines 28-30, col 8 lines 1-11, and claim 1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Bezos's teachings in Bugarin's flowmeter sizing and ordering system enabled, for the advantage of encoding data to protect consumer's privacy, identity, and business related information.

7. Regarding Claim 12: Bugarin discloses a method as claimed in claim 10, wherein: the basic data include the measuring principle (col 1 lines 49-64 and col 4 lines 52-59).

8. Regarding Claim 13: Bugarin discloses a method as claimed in claim 10, wherein: the application data includes process parameters and/or data concerning a medium (col 2 lines 33-41, col 4 lines 36-46, and col 7 lines 26-39).

9. Regarding Claim 14: Bugarin discloses a method as claimed in claim 10, wherein: the planning module has a calculating module, which determines measurement-principle-specific variables (fig 6, col 2 lines 45-48, and col 4 lines 3-8).

10. Regarding Claim 15: Bugarin discloses a method as claimed in claim 14, wherein: a measurement-principle-specific, characterizing-variable is e.g. measurement accuracy (claims 19-21).

11. Regarding Claim 16: Bugarin discloses a method as claimed in claim 10, wherein: the planning module determines with the calculated characterizing-variables a device whose device parameters fit the characterizing-variables (col 2 lines 14-16).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FAHD A. OBEID whose telephone number is (571)270-3324. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Fahd A Obeid/
Examiner, Art Unit 3627
03/24/2008

/F. Ryan Zeender/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 3627